On the Conjecture of Meinardus on Rational Approximation of e^x , II

DIETRICH BRAESS

Institut für Mathematik, Ruhr-Universität Bochum, 4630 Bochum 1, West Germany

Communicated by P. L. Butzer

Received March 18, 1983

INTRODUCTION

Recently D. J. Newman [4] and the author [1] have established upper and lower bounds for the degree of approximation when e^x is approximated by rational functions on the interval [-1, +1]. The bounds differ from the value conjectured by Meinardus [2, p. 168] only by constants. Here we will show that Meinardus' conjecture is true as it stands.

1

This paper is concerned with Meinardus' conjecture on uniform approximation of e^x on the interval [-1, +1] by (m, n) – degree rationals, i.e., by rational functions whose numerator and denominator have degrees m and n, respectively. Meinardus, in his monograph [2, p. 168], conjectured that $E_{m,n}$, i.e., the distance of e^x to the rationals, measured by the sup-norm in C[-1, +1], has the following asymptotic behavior:

$$E_{m,n} = \frac{n!m!}{2^{n+m}(n+m)! (n+m+1)!} (1+o(1)) \quad \text{as} \quad n+m \to \infty.$$
(1)

The crucial point here and in the previous estimates is an observation made by Newman: Let p/q be an (m, n)-degree rational. Given $x \in [-1, +1]$, put z = (x + iy)/2 with y real, $x^2 + y^2 = 1$. Then $R(x) = p(z) p(\bar{z})/[q(z) q(\bar{z})]$ is also an (m, n)-degree rational function in the variable x. Since $e^x = e^z e^{\bar{z}}$, we have

$$e^{x} - R(x) = 2 \operatorname{Re} e^{\overline{z}} \left(e^{z} - \frac{p(z)}{q(z)} \right) - \left| e^{z} - \frac{p(z)}{q(z)} \right|^{2}.$$
 (2)
375

0021-9045/84 \$3.00

DIETRICH BRAESS

In the sequel only cases are considered where the second term is small when compared with the first one. Moreover assume that $p - e^z q$ has n + m + 1zeros in the domain $|z| \leq \frac{1}{2}$ but there q has none; this happens, e.g., when p/qis the Padé approximant. Then by a winding number argument one concludes that $e^x - R(x)$ alternates (n + m + 1)-times [1]; one gets a lower bound from the theorem of de la Vallée-Poussin, which together with the obvious upper bound reads

$$2\min_{|z|=\frac{1}{2}}\left|e^{z}\left(e^{z}-\frac{p}{q}\right)\right| \leq E_{m,n}(1+o(1)) \leq 2\max_{|z|=\frac{1}{2}}\left|e^{z}\left(e^{z}-\frac{p}{q}\right)\right|.$$
 (3)

Therefore we are interested in constructing rationals p/q such that $|e^{z}(e^{z} - p/q)|$ varies only little on the circle $|z| = \frac{1}{2}$.

2

We will start with the (m, n)-Padé approximant which is given by

$$p(z) = \int_0^\infty t^n (t+z)^m e^{-t} dt, \qquad q(z) = \int_0^\infty (t-z)^n t^m e^{-t} dt.$$
(4)

First we derive an asymptotic property of the remainder term

$$p(z) - e^{z}q(z) = \int_{0}^{\infty} t^{n}(t+z)^{m} e^{-t} dt - \int_{0}^{\infty} (t-z)^{n} t^{m} e^{-t+z} dt$$
$$= \int_{0}^{-z} t^{n}(t+z)^{m} e^{-t} dt$$
$$= (-1)^{n+1} z^{n+m+1} \int_{0}^{1} u^{n}(1-u)^{m} e^{uz} du.$$

After inserting the Taylor's series for the exponential function the integral above becomes

$$\int_{0}^{1} u^{n} (1-u)^{m} \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{1}{k!} u^{k} z^{k} du$$

$$= \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{m! (n+k)!}{(n+m+k+1)!} \frac{z^{k}}{k!}$$

$$= \frac{m! n!}{(n+m+1)!} \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{(n+1)_{k} (n+m)^{k}}{n^{k} (n+m+2)_{k}} \frac{1}{k!} \left(\frac{n}{n+m} z\right)^{k}.$$
(5)

Here the convention $(a)_k := a(a+1)\cdots(a+k-1)$ known from hypergeometric functions is used. Now we recall a simple observation on sequences of power series. Let

$$\psi_{\nu}(z) = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} a_{k\nu} \frac{z^k}{k!}, \quad \nu = 1, 2, ...,$$

with $|a_{kv}| \leq 1$ and $\lim a_{kv} = 0$ for each k. Then $\sup\{|\psi_v(z)|, |z| \leq 1\}$ tends to zero. From (5) we conclude

$$e^{z}q(z) - p(z) = \frac{m!n!}{(m+n+1)!} (-1)^{n+1} z^{n+m+1} e^{(n/(n+m))z} (1+\psi_{1}(z)), \quad (6)$$

where $\psi_1(z)$, [z] < 1, becomes arbitrarily small as $n + m \to \infty$. To be more specific, from (5) first a small additive correction to the exponential function is obtained which is changed into a multiplicative term.

3

In the same spirit from (4) an asymptotic formula for q is derived after the binomial formula is applied to $(t-z)^n$:

$$q(z) = (n+m)! e^{-(n/(n+m))z} (1+\psi_2(z)).$$
(7)

For an estimate of the correction term, $|\psi_2(z)| \leq |z|^2 e^{2|z|}/(2m+2n)$, the reader is referred to [5, p. 248]. From (6) and (7) it follows that

$$e^{z}\left(e^{z}-\frac{p(z)}{q(z)}\right)=\frac{n!m!(-1)^{n}}{(n+m)!(n+m+1)!}z^{n+m+1}e^{\alpha z}(1+o(1))$$
(8)

where $\alpha = 1 + 2n/(n+m)$. We note that $1 \le \alpha \le 3$.

4

The function (8) [more precisely its modulus] is not constant on the circle $|z| = \frac{1}{2}$ mainly because $e^{\alpha z} z^{n+m+1}$ is not. On the other hand by choosing z_0 appropriately we can achieve that

$$|e^{\alpha z}(z-z_0)^{n+m+1}|$$
 (9)

deviates very little from a constant on that circle.

DIETRICH BRAESS

It is easily checked by some elementary calculations that

$$e^{-2/(3t)} \leqslant \left| e^{z} \left(z - \frac{1}{t} \right)^{t} \right| \leqslant e^{+2/(3t)}, \qquad |z| = 1, t \ge 3.$$

After taking the $(\alpha/2)$ th power of these inequalities, with z replaced by 2z and with $N = \alpha t/2$ we get

$$e^{-\alpha^2/(6N)} \leq 2^N \left| e^{\alpha z} \left(z - \frac{\alpha}{4N} \right)^N \right| \leq e^{+\alpha^2/(6N)}, \qquad |z| = \frac{1}{2}$$

Consequently, when putting N = n + m + 1, $z_0 = \alpha/[4(n + m + 1)]$ we get a close-to-circularity property for (9).

5

Let z_0 be as above. Then $\tilde{p}(z)/\tilde{q}(z) = e^{z_0}p(z-z_0)/q(z-z_0)$ is the Padé approximant to e^z at z_0 . From

$$e^{z}\left(e^{z}-\frac{\tilde{p}(z)}{\tilde{q}(z)}\right)=\frac{n!m!(-1)^{n}}{(n+m)!(n+m+1)!}(z-z_{0})^{n+m+1}e^{\alpha z}(1+o(1))$$
(10)

where $(1 + o(1)) = e^{(2-\alpha)z_0}(1 + \psi_1(z - z_0))(1 + \psi_2(z - z_0))^{-1}$, it follows that Meinardus' conjecture is true.

The result remains true if the approximation problem with the weight function $w(x) = e^{-x}$ is considered [3]. Only the exponent α has to be replaced by $\alpha - 2$. In each case a rational function is constructed such that the error curve is near to a circular one [6].

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

I am indebted to H. Werner and L. Wuytack as their invitation to the Conference on Padé approximation in Bad Honnef motivated me to look once more at Meinardus' conjecture. Moreover, I want to thank Bill Gragg for several suggestions on the numerical evidence for the conjecture.

Note added in proof. For analogous investigations of rational approximation of \sqrt{x} the reader is referred to [7].

References

- 1. D. BRAESS, On the conjecture of Meinardus on rational approximation of e^x , J. Approx. Theory **36** (1982), 317-320.
- 2. G. MEINARDUS, "Approximation of Functions: Theory and Numerical Methods," Springer, New York, 1967.
- 3. G. NEMETH, Relative rational approximation of the function e^x , Math. Notes 21 (1977), 325-328.
- 4. D. J. NEWMAN, Rational approximation to e^x, J. Approx. Theory 27 (1979), 234-235.
- 5 O. PERRON, "Die Lehre von den Kettenbrüchen, II," 3 Aufl., Teubner, Stuttgart, 1957.
- 6. L. N. TREFETHEN, Near circularity of the error curve in complex Chebyshev approximation, J. Approx. Theory 31 (1981), 344-367.
- 7. D. BRAESS, On rational approximation of the exponential and the square root function. In "Rational Approximation and Interpolation" (P. R. Graves-Morris, E. B. Saff, R. S. Varga, eds.). Springer, Heidelberg-New York, 1984.